



© Authors, 2026

Journal of International Students

Volume 16, Issue 14 (2026), pp. 153-178

ISSN: 2162-3104 (Print), 2166-3750 (Online)

jistudents.org

<https://doi.org/10.32674/j8pbrd35>



Peer Support within Academic Seminars as a Mechanism of Academic Socialization for International Graduates

Theogene Ntirenganya

Southwest University, China

Francoise Mugeni

East China Normal University, China

Florien Uwizeyimana

Northeast Normal University, China

*Corresponding Author: ntirengatheogene@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4400-4649>

ABSTRACT: *Academic seminars are pivotal to graduate education but are underexamined as sites of peer-mediated academic socialization, particularly beyond Western contexts. This qualitative case study explores how peer support within seminars facilitates the academic socialization of international graduate students in China. Drawing on Bandura’s social learning theory, peer support is conceptualized as a dynamic process of observation, modeling, and reciprocal engagement through which disciplinary norms are internalized. Triangulated data from 12 seminar observations, 10 semistructured interviews, and reflective journals were thematically analyzed. The findings indicate that seminars unfold across four structured phases—planning, presentation, discussion, and evaluation—in which peer support is embedded. Such interactions enhance psychological well-being by fostering a sense of belonging and mitigating isolation while advancing academic development through feedback, topic refinement, and improved scholarly writing. Seminars thus emerge as strategic pedagogical spaces for cultivating inclusive, collaborative, and socially grounded academic integration.*

Keywords: Academic seminar, Academic socialization, China, International graduates, Peer support

Received: Dec 29, 2025 | **Revised:** March 14, 2026 | **Accepted:** March 19, 2026

How to Cite (APA): Ntirenganya, T., Mugeni, F., & Uwizeyimana, F. (2026). Peer support within academic seminars as a mechanism of academic socialization for international graduates in China. *Journal of International Students*, 16(14), pp. 153-178. <https://doi.org/10.32674/j8pbrd35>

INTRODUCTION

Education is widely recognized as a fundamental driver of national development, innovation, and social progress (Altbach & Knight, 2007; Bloom et al., 2006; Hanushek & Woessmann, 2015). In response to the rapid evolution of global economies, governments and higher education institutions have increasingly prioritized improvements in educational quality and pedagogy (Altbach & Salmi, 2011; OECD, 2020). Central to this transformation has been the widespread adoption of learner-centered approaches such as cooperative, experiential, and problem-based learning, which emphasize student autonomy, collaboration, and critical thinking (Prince, 2004; Baker & Clark, 2010). These active learning strategies contrast with traditional lecture-based models that prioritize passive knowledge reception (Freeman et al., 2014) and foster competencies associated with 21st-century education, including effective communication, creativity, and intercultural understanding (Niemi et al., 2016; Roessingh & Chambers, 2011).

Within this broader pedagogical shift, academic seminars have emerged as particularly significant in graduate education. Designed as interactive learning environments, seminars provide opportunities for students to present ideas, critique arguments, and engage in sustained scholarly dialogue with peers and instructors (Biggs & Tang, 2011; Morgan et al., 2019). Unlike traditional lectures, seminars emphasize active participation and collaborative knowledge construction, enabling students to refine critical thinking, academic writing, and disciplinary understanding (Kreber & Cranton, 2000; Gothane, 2020). Beyond these cognitive benefits, seminars also serve as key sites of academic socialization, the process through which students internalize the norms, discourse practices, and expectations of their academic communities (Duff, 2010; Coffin et al., 2003). Through engaging in seminar discussions and activities, graduate students gradually acquire the disciplinary language, argumentation styles, and participatory practices essential for scholarly communication.

An important yet underexamined dimension of seminar learning is peer support. Peer support broadly refers to mutual assistance, feedback, and encouragement among students facing similar academic experiences or challenges (Al'Adawi, 2017; Topping et al., 2017). Research suggests that peer-assisted learning can enhance motivation, engagement, and persistence while fostering empathy, intercultural communication, and a sense of belonging within

academic communities (Falchikov, 2001; Baker & Clark, 2010; Wenger, 1998). In a meta-analysis synthesizing 13 studies involving more than 6,000 international students, Sari et al. (2026) reported a significant positive association between social support and academic adjustment among international students ($r = .365$). Peer support showed a moderate correlation ($r = .260$), underscoring its role while highlighting contextual variability, which calls for further research.

Understanding peer support is particularly critical in international higher education, where students navigate unfamiliar academic norms, linguistic differences, and cultural expectations (Jiang et al., 2026). Over the past two decades, China has emerged as a major destination for international students worldwide, driven by initiatives such as the Chinese Government Scholarship Program, Belt and Road education partnerships, and expanding global collaborations (Bodomo, 2012; Hu, 2019; Akhtar et al., 2019). Consequently, graduate classrooms in Chinese universities have become increasingly multicultural, bringing together students from diverse linguistic, educational, and disciplinary backgrounds (Huang, 2017; Tian & Lowe, 2018; Wu & Zha, 2020). Seminars in these environments are often intentionally designed to promote collaborative learning and intercultural dialogue (Hu, 2019). Despite this emphasis, few empirical studies have examined how peer support functions within cross-cultural seminar settings or how it shapes international students' academic experiences in China (Heng, 2017; Jin & Cortazzi, 2018).

Learning within such environments can be understood through social learning theory (Bandura, 1977), which emphasizes that individuals acquire knowledge and behaviors through observation, modeling, and interaction within social contexts. From this perspective, learning occurs not only through direct instruction but also through observing others' actions and interpreting their outcomes (Bandura, 1977). Academic seminars provide particularly rich environments for such processes because students observe peers how peers present arguments, respond to feedback, and engage in discussion (Nathalie Hyde-Clarke, 2025). Through these interactions, students gradually develop the skills and confidence required for academic participation (Richard et al., 2022).

Empirical studies further illustrate the strategies international students use to navigate these learning environments. Gao (2026) reported that doctoral students relied on observational learning and focused on listening to adapt to classroom communication norms. Umennadi et al. (2025) documented that Nigerian students in Chinese-medium programs adopted interlanguage translations and online resources to manage academic demands. Similarly, Oz Cetindere and Shin (2025) reported that Turkish students studying in the United States experienced significant social-emotional challenges, including homesickness and isolation, highlighting the importance of supportive peer relationships.

Despite these insights, research has focused predominantly on individual adjustment, institutional support, or personal coping strategies (Andrade, 2006; Smith & Khawaja, 2011; Glass & Westmont, 2014; Chen et al., 2020). Less attention has been paid to the collaborative learning processes

through which students support each other, particularly within structured academic environments such as academic seminars. Moreover, many studies treat international students as a homogeneous group and overlook variations in linguistic background, cultural experience, and educational preparation that shape learning strategies and peer interactions (Gao, 2026; Umennadi et al., 2025; Oz Cetindere & Shin, 2025).

Several interrelated gaps remain. First, few studies examine peer support specifically within academic seminars, despite their centrality in graduate education. Second, research emphasizes adjustment difficulties over learning processes, leaving unclear how peer interaction contributes to academic development. Third, most studies have been conducted in Western contexts, limiting the understanding of peer learning in non-Western settings such as China.

To address these gaps, the present study investigates how peer support functions within academic seminars to facilitate academic socialization among international graduate students in China. Guided by social learning theory, this study explores how students observe and learn from peers, exchange feedback during seminar discussions, and develop confidence and engagement through collaborative interactions. Specifically, the study addresses the following specific research objectives: i) to describe the organization and conduct of academic seminars in higher learning institutions, to assess the contribution of peer support within academic seminars to students' social and psychological well-being, and to examine the influence of peer support in academic seminars on graduate students' academic performance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework: Social Learning Theory

Social learning theory provides a valuable analytical lens for understanding how peer interaction supports academic socialization in graduate education. Originally developed by Albert Bandura, the theory posits that learning occurs through observation, imitation, and interaction within social environments (Bandura, 1977). Rather than viewing learning solely as an individual's cognitive process, social learning theory emphasizes that individuals acquire knowledge, behaviors, and skills through social interaction, including observing others, engaging in shared activities, and receiving feedback within collaborative environments (Bandura, 1977). This perspective aligns with the concept of communities of practice, which suggests that learning occurs through participation in social and cultural contexts where individuals gradually acquire the practices and norms of a particular community (Lave & Wenger, 1991).

Within academic seminar settings, these mechanisms are particularly visible as students observe how peers present ideas, respond to critiques, and engage in scholarly dialogue. Through such interactions, students gradually develop the communicative practices, argumentation styles, and participation norms associated with disciplinary communities (Morgan et al., 2019; Gothane, 2020 Lave & Wenger, 1991). For international graduate students, these

processes are especially important, as observing peers and participating in collaborative discussions can help them navigate unfamiliar academic expectations and discourse conventions (Hyde-Clarke, 2025). By applying social learning theory, this study conceptualizes peer support not only as assistance among students but also as a dynamic process through which learners observe, model, and coconstruct knowledge within seminar environments.

Academic Seminars as Sites of Graduate Learning

Academic seminars are widely recognized as central components of graduate education. Unlike lecture-based instruction, seminars emphasize discussion, collaborative inquiry, and student participation, creating environments where knowledge is constructed through interaction rather than nonreciprocally transmitted knowledge (Biggs & Tang, 2011; Bonwell & Eison, 1991; Freeman et al., 2014). In these settings, students present ideas, critique arguments, and engage in scholarly dialogue with peers and instructors. Scholars therefore describe seminars as spaces where students develop disciplinary thinking, communication skills, and professional academic identities (Morgan et al., 2019; Gothane, 2020; Biggs & Tang, 2011).

Beyond their instructional role, seminars also function as important contexts for academic socialization (Hyde-Clarke, 2025). Academic socialization refers to the process through which students learn and internalize the norms, expectations, and practices of scholarly communities (Duff, 2010; Coffin et al., 2003). In seminar settings, graduate students participate in discussions, presentations, and collaborative exchanges that expose them to the ways in which knowledge is constructed, debated, and communicated in their disciplines. Through these interactions, students gradually learn how to articulate arguments, respond to critiques, and engage in scholarly dialogue with peers and instructors (Biggs & Tang, 2011; Morgan et al., 2019).

Such participation helps them acquire disciplinary language and develop appropriate argumentation styles. It also familiarizes them with the participation norms and organizational practices that characterize academic discourse (Kuh et al., 2021; Leadbeatter & Gao, 2019; Pardo et al., 2021). In this way, seminars serve not only as spaces for knowledge transmission but also as environments where students develop communicative competence and scholarly confidence (Richard et al., 2022). Over time, these experiences support their integration into academic communities and the formation of their academic identities. While this process is foundational for all graduate students, it presents distinct challenges and opportunities for those navigating these environments from international backgrounds.

This process is particularly important for international graduate students, who must adapt to unfamiliar academic conventions while simultaneously navigating the linguistic and cultural differences that shape their academic and social experiences (Anuar et al., 2025; Lashari et al., 2023). In globalizing higher education systems such as those in China, seminars increasingly serve as spaces for intercultural exchange and collaborative learning among students from diverse national backgrounds (Hu, 2019). Within

these settings, students are exposed to different perspectives, communication styles, and approaches to knowledge construction. Such interactions can support their academic adjustment and participation in disciplinary communities. However, these dynamics may also present challenges as students negotiate new expectations for discussion, argumentation, and collaboration.

Peer Interaction and Learning in Higher Education

Peer interaction plays a critical role in higher education learning environments. Peer support is usually defined as cooperative relationships among students characterized by mutual assistance, encouragement, and the sharing of knowledge and learning strategies (Al'Adawi, 2017; Topping et al., 2017). A substantial body of research demonstrates that collaborative learning environments enhance student engagement, motivation, and academic persistence (Falchikov, 2001; Boud et al., 2014). Early studies on peer learning focused primarily on formal tutoring arrangements and structured peer-assisted learning programs designed to support academic achievement (Webb, 1989). More recent scholarship, however, has focused toward the role of informal peer interactions such as classroom discussions, collaborative problem solving, and feedback exchange in shaping students' academic development (Wenger, 1998; Baker & Clark, 2010). Through these interactions, students have opportunities to articulate their ideas, negotiate meaning, and clarify misunderstandings. Such collaborative engagement also encourages the coconstruction of knowledge and supports the development of deeper conceptual understanding.

Empirical evidence further highlights the importance of social support for students' academic adjustment (Sari et al., 2026). Among the different forms of support, institutional support is most strongly related to academic adjustment, followed by peer support and family support. This hierarchy underscores the particular importance of institutional and classroom environments such as seminars in shaping international students' academic experiences. These findings suggest that supportive learning environments, particularly those characterized by positive relationships among students, play a crucial role in facilitating successful academic experiences for international learners.

Despite this growing body of research, peer support is often framed primarily as an intervention aimed at addressing students' academic or social difficulties rather than as a reciprocal learning process. Scholars have increasingly criticized this deficit-oriented perspective, arguing that international students bring valuable knowledge, perspectives, and learning strategies that enrich academic communities (Ngbabare, 2025; Glass & Westmont, 2014). From this perspective, and consistent with the principles of social learning theory, peer interaction should be understood not only as a mechanism for assisting struggling students but also as a collaborative process through which diverse learners actively contribute to the coconstruction of knowledge.

Challenges in International Graduate Student Socialization

Despite the potential of peer interaction to improve learning, international graduate students often encounter a range of challenges as they transition into new academic environments. These challenges include language barriers,

cultural adjustment, social isolation, and experiences of discrimination (Mwangi et al., 2019; Laufer & Gorup, 2019; Umennadi et al., 2025). Together, these factors can influence students' academic participation and sense of belonging within university communities. Language difficulties remain among the most frequently documented barriers. Even students who possess high levels of English proficiency may struggle with colloquial language, rapid speech, unfamiliar accents, and implicit classroom participation norms (Campbell, 2015; Zhou et al., 2018). Research has shown that communication challenges can inhibit participation in seminar discussions, as students may hesitate to speak because of concerns about misunderstanding or being misunderstood (Zhang, 2016). Such barriers can affect both academic performance and confidence in classroom interactions.

Recent research has indicated that language-related challenges among international students are complex and vary by linguistic background. Gao (2026) reported that even students who identified as English-dominant encountered difficulties with accent comprehension and culturally nuanced forms of communication. Similarly, Umennadi et al. (2025) reported that Nigerian students enrolled in Chinese-medium programs experienced significant challenges expressing complex ideas in Chinese, particularly when writing theses or presenting research. Such challenges are particularly acute in seminar settings, where spontaneous discussion and complex verbal expression are needed. These findings illustrate the dual demands that international students face as they simultaneously develop disciplinary knowledge and academic language proficiency.

Cultural differences can shape classroom participation patterns. Students from educational systems that stress deference to authority or collective learning may find the assertive norms of Western-style seminars unfamiliar (Zhang, 2016). For example, African graduate students in U.S. universities report that cultural expectations of humility and respect can conflict with expectations for outspoken engagement (George Mwangi et al., 2018). These challenges may be intensified by discrimination, stereotyping, and microaggressions that weaken students' sense of belonging (Lee & Rice, 2007; Yaro & Mize Smith, 2024), reducing their willingness to participate in discussions. As a result, many international students rely on peer networks for emotional support and shared advice and help them navigate academic and social challenges (Glass et al., 2015).

Peer Support, well-being, and academic achievement

In light of these multifaceted challenges, peer relationships play an important role in mitigating the social and emotional difficulties faced by international students. Strong peer networks can reduce feelings of isolation, provide emotional encouragement, and foster a sense of belonging within academic environments (Ecochard & Fotheringham, 2017; Bi, 2025). These relationships are particularly valuable for students who are far from home, where familiar support systems may be absent. In addition to emotional support, peer interaction contributes to academic achievement. Collaborative learning enables

students to clarify concepts, exchange explanations, and develop a deeper understanding of course material (Webb, 1989). Discussions among peers can stimulate critical thinking and encourage students to articulate and defend their ideas, thereby strengthening epistemic development (Reynolds et al., 1996).

Peer interaction plays a key role in graduate students' development of research and scholarly communication skills. Through presenting ideas, receiving feedback, and engaging in discussions, students refine their arguments and strengthen their methodological reasoning (Boud et al., 2014; Topping et al., 2017). These experiences are especially important for international students who may be unfamiliar with disciplinary discourse norms. Recent studies have shown that students actively develop strategies to manage academic demands through peer interaction. Gao (2026) reported that international doctoral students often observe classmates learning participation norms and communication strategies, reflecting the observational learning processes described in social learning theory. Similarly, Umennadi et al. (2025) reported that students use strategies such as translation, memorization, and online resources to navigate challenging academic environments.

METHODS

This qualitative case study (Yin, 2018) investigated how peer support within academic seminars facilitates academic socialization among international graduate students. This approach enabled an in depth, contextualized exploration of participants' experiences within their natural settings (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016), aligning with the study's theoretical grounding in social learning theory (Bandura, 1977). The study was conducted at a major Chinese university with a substantial international student population, strategically selected for its increasingly multicultural classrooms where cross-cultural peer interactions are both prevalent and pedagogically significant (Hu, 2019; Wu & Zha, 2020). Ten participants were purposively selected (Patton, 2015) according to three criteria: current enrollment as international graduate students, active participation in academic seminars, and willingness to engage in sustained data collection. The final sample comprised six males and four females (four master's and six doctoral students) from diverse disciplinary and cultural backgrounds, ensuring a variety of perspectives on peer interaction.

Data were collected using three complementary methods to enable triangulation (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Nonparticipant observations were conducted across 12 seminar sessions over twelve weeks, each lasting 90–120 minutes (approximately 14:00–16:00), with detailed field notes recording interaction patterns and participation dynamics (Emerson, Fretz, & Shaw, 2011). Semistructured interviews of 30–45 minutes explored participants' perceptions of peer support, academic socialization, and seminar experiences (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009); the interviews were transcribed verbatim, yielding 96 pages of text. Reflective journals, maintained over four weeks using guided prompts (Hayman, Wilkes, & Jackson, 2012), captured participants' immediate reflections on seminar experiences.

Data analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2021) six-phase thematic analysis. All the materials were imported into NVivo and analyzed iteratively through: familiarization via repeated reading; open coding, generating eighty-seven initial codes informed inductively and guided by social learning theory; theme development through pattern identification; theme review against the full dataset; theme definition with analytic descriptions; and report production using illustrative extracts. Constant comparison across data sources ensured analytical rigor while linking observed interactions to theoretical mechanisms such as observation, modeling, and feedback.

Trustworthiness was established following Lincoln and Guba (1985): credibility through triangulation, prolonged engagement, and member checking; transferability through thick description; and dependability through a comprehensive audit trail and peer debriefing. Researcher positionality was acknowledged through reflexive journaling throughout the research process. Ethical approval was obtained from the university's institutional review board. Written informed consent was secured from all participants, with confidentiality ensured through anonymization. Voluntary participation was emphasized, and all the data were securely stored with access restricted to the research team.

RESULTS

This section presents the study's findings, organized around three central themes derived from participants' experiences: (i) the planning, organization, and conduct of the academic seminar; (ii) the role of peer support within the seminar in shaping graduates' social and psychological well-being; and (iii) the seminar's contribution to graduates' academic performance.

Theme One: Planning, Organization, and Conduct of Academic Seminars

Analysis of data from document reviews, classroom observations, and semistructured interviews revealed that academic seminars follow a systematic and staged process, beginning with planning and extending through execution. Participants consistently emphasized the central role of an organizational committee in ensuring the effective functioning of seminars.

The interview data indicated that the committee was responsible for key organizational tasks, including determining the seminar duration, scheduling dates, securing venues, appointing chairpersons, inviting guest speakers, and coordinating logistical arrangements.

One participant noted that *"the organizational committee plays a significant role in organizing, planning, and executing an academic seminar,"* highlighting its coordinating and supervisory function. Participants further reported that advance planning, particularly in times of time and venue facilitated, effective participation. As one interviewee explained,

"Planning, preparing, and arranging time and venues helps participants organize their schedules and manage their responsibilities more effectively." The participant emphasized that "having a clearly structured seminar schedule made it easier to anticipate academic

tasks, balance coursework with research activities, and ensure regular participation in discussions. This level of organization, according to the interviewee, created a predictable academic environment that allowed students to prepare in advance and engage more confidently during seminar sessions.”

This structured preparation was perceived as enabling smoother seminar implementation and clearer role allocation among participants. The observational data corroborated the interview findings, indicating that the seminars were designed to promote equitable participation. Students were routinely given opportunities to assume leadership roles through formal presentations, enabling them to actively contribute to scholarly discussions. These practices were observed to support the development of academic competencies, including public speaking, critical thinking, and accountability, while ensuring that participants engaged actively rather than remaining passive attendees.

Theme Two: Effects of Peer Support in Academic Seminars on Graduates’ Social and Psychological Well-Being

Findings from interviews and classroom observations indicate that peer support within academic seminars plays a significant role in enhancing graduates’ social and psychological well-being. Participants consistently reported that seminar-based peer interactions helped reduce feelings of isolation and fostered a strong sense of belonging, particularly among international students navigating unfamiliar academic and cultural environments.

The interviewees described seminars as supportive spaces where shared academic challenges created opportunities for mutual understanding and validation. Interviewees reported that

“working with peers who face similar an academic pressure makes it easier to feel understood and supported.” The participants explained that “sharing experiences with others in similar situations helped create a sense of mutual understanding and solidarity. This environment of shared challenges and encouragement fostered emotional reassurance and reduced feelings of isolation, making it easier for students to navigate academic demands and maintain motivation.”

Observational data further revealed that collaborative activities such as group discussions and joint presentations encouraged regular interaction and emotional connection among participants. The participants also emphasized that academic seminars facilitated the development of positive interpersonal relationships. As one interviewee explained,

“Academic seminars provide a platform to engage in meaningful discussions, share ideas, and interact with others who have similar academic interests.” The participant highlighted that these interactions create opportunities for collaborative learning, where students can exchange perspectives, clarify complex concepts, and receive constructive feedback. Such engagement not only enriches academic dialogue but also strengthens intellectual connections among participants who share similar research interests.”

These interactions were reported to promote communication, trust, and cooperation, contributing to a sense of academic community. In addition, peer-supported seminar environments were found to encourage empathy and emotional awareness. Through the exchange of personal experiences and perspectives, participants developed greater sensitivity to others’ viewpoints. This process strengthened social bonds and contributed to improved self-confidence and emotional resilience. Overall, the findings suggest that peer support within academic seminars serves as a critical social mechanism that enhances psychological well-being and interpersonal connectedness among international graduate students.

Theme Three: Contribution of Peer Support to Academic Achievement

Findings from interviews and observations indicate that peer support within academic seminars substantially enhances graduates’ academic achievement, particularly in research development, engagement, and the acquisition of academic skills. Participants consistently reported that peer interaction increased their interest in academic work and sustained motivation through collaborative learning processes. A prominent finding concerned the role of peer support in the formulation of research topics. The interviewees emphasized that presenting preliminary research ideas to peers enabled them to refine broad interests into focused and feasible research topics. As one participant explained,

“peer support in seminars is highly fruitful for formulating research topics because feedback from different perspectives helps clarify the scope and originality of the project.” The participant noted that discussing ideas with peers allowed them to refine their research focus, identify gaps, and consider alternative viewpoints. Such feedback was seen as valuable in strengthening the conceptual foundation of their research and guiding the development of more clearly defined and feasible research topics.”

Group discussions were observed to facilitate brainstorming, identification of research gaps, and early methodological clarification. The participants also reported that peer-supported seminar environments enhanced academic engagement and knowledge retention. Collaborative discussions and shared problem-solving were described as contributing to a

deeper understanding of course content. The interviewees noted that regular peer interaction encouraged sustained participation and accountability, particularly when students anticipated feedback from their peers.

In addition, the findings indicated that peer support contributed to the development of academic writing skills. The participants described receiving constructive peer feedback on written work, including suggestions for clarification, organization, and argument development. The observational data confirmed that peer review activities promoted iterative revision and reflective writing practices. Overall, peer-supported seminars were found to foster academic confidence, ownership of learning, and improved scholarly output among international graduate students.

DISCUSSION

This section discusses the study's key findings by linking them to the existing literature and the guiding theoretical framework, highlighting how peer interaction and support within academic seminars influence graduate students' learning experiences, well-being, and academic development.

Organization and Conduct of Academic Seminars in Higher Learning Institutions

The organization and conduct of academic seminars can be understood as a pedagogical structure that requires careful planning and coordination to establish the social conditions necessary for learning through interaction. They are structured learning sessions or small-group meetings in which students and tutors, or other subject-matter experts, engage in discussion and exchange knowledge on a selected academic topic (Jaarsma et al., 2008; LTDU, 2007). Seminars are an integral component of higher education across all academic disciplines (Rana, 2017). Grounded in social learning theory, well-designed seminars create environments in which observation, modeling, and reciprocal engagement support academic socialization (Bandura, 1986). Within this context, careful planning, coordination, and the allocation of roles are not merely logistical considerations; they function as pedagogical mechanisms that shape participation patterns and influence learning opportunities (Akoshi et al., 2025).

Prior scholarship emphasizes that effective seminars require deliberate planning processes, including defining objectives, selecting topics, scheduling, and coordinating participants (Tsatsenko, 2021; Akoshi et al., 2025). From a social learning perspective, these structured processes establish predictable environments in which learners can observe academic norms, imitate effective scholarly behaviors, and internalize disciplinary practices. Organizational committees play a central role in this process by articulating seminar goals and ensuring coherence between content, structure, and participant roles (Akoshi et al., 2025).

Decisions regarding timing and venue further influence participation and engagement. Le (2019) argued that logistical clarity enhances learners'

preparedness and commitment. Within social learning theory, such clarity reduces cognitive and emotional uncertainty, allowing learners to focus attention on observing peers, engaging in dialogue, and practicing academic skills. Seminars that deliberately distribute leadership roles, such as presenting, moderating, and synthesizing discussions, align closely with Bandura's concept of modeling. When students observe peers successfully performing academic tasks, they acquire strategies for their own academic performance. Group discussions and structured feedback further operationalize reciprocal determinism, whereby individual behavior, social interaction, and the learning environment mutually reinforce one another (Bandura, 1986). Closing activities, including synthesis and reflection, consolidate learning by reinforcing observed behaviors and shared meanings, enabling participants to transition from collective interaction to individual cognitive integration.

These findings resonate with research on student-led academic events, which demonstrate that structured peer interactions can create supportive “intermediary communities of practice” that help students transition into the academic culture of their disciplines (Hyde-Clarke, 2025). Such environments facilitate the development of shared language, values, and practices essential for scholarly participation. Furthermore, the success of initiatives such as the Klokt Master Conference exemplifies how deliberate planning and the distribution of leadership roles among students can foster a sense of ownership and responsibility, creating a positive learning culture where participants feel valued and engaged (Hyde-Clarke, 2025). The use of small, intentionally diverse groups in such settings has been shown to enhance the quality of interaction and feedback, underscoring the importance of designing seminar environments that are both structured and inclusive (Hyde-Clarke, 2025).

Contribution of Peer Support to Students' Social and Psychological Well-Being

Peer support within academic seminars can theoretically be explained as a social mechanism that strengthens psychological well-being through observational learning, social reinforcement, and collective efficacy. Social learning theory posits that learning and emotional regulation are socially mediated processes; individuals develop confidence and resilience when they observe that others can successfully navigate similar challenges (Bandura, 1977; Richard et al., 2022). A study by Cohen and McKay (2020) confirms that support derived from social networks is a critical factor in managing stress and adapting to new environments. In higher education, perceived peer support also plays a significant role in shaping students' academic adjustment (Zhang et al., 2021). This form of support facilitates adjustment through several pathways. For example, emotional encouragement from peers can alleviate anxiety and stress, provide psychological reassurance, and strengthen students' ability to cope with academic demands (Camacho-Morles et al., 2021).

In seminar contexts, peer interaction creates opportunities for vicarious experiences, one of the most influential sources of self-efficacy. When learners witness peers who express uncertainty, receive support, and gradually gain

confidence, they are more likely to believe in their own academic capabilities (Richard et al., 2022). This process is particularly salient for international graduate students, who often experience social isolation and cultural dissonance in unfamiliar academic environments (Ngbabare, 2025; Oz Cetindere & Shin, 2025). Walton et al. (2015) emphasize that structured peer interactions can mitigate these challenges by fostering belonging and normalizing academic struggle.

Academic seminars also function as communities of practice in which shared goals and collaborative engagement cultivate interpersonal trust and social connectedness (Lave & Wenger, 1991). Through sustained interaction, learners internalize norms of respectful dialogue, empathy, and mutual support, processes that contribute to psychological well-being by reducing anxiety and fostering emotional security, as described in Ryff's (1989) multidimensional model of well-being. Empirical work by Goldman (1987) further indicated that seminars help students overcome their fear of disease, develop compassion for patients with severe conditions, and adopt a more comprehensive understanding of complex illnesses. These social and emotional benefits are particularly significant for African graduate students in U.S. institutions, who may experience marginalization and invisibility; in such contexts, faculty and peer mentorship within seminar settings can serve as important bridges for fostering a sense of belonging and mitigating acculturative stress (Rana, 2017; Ngbabare, 2025).

Furthermore, social learning theory highlights the importance of verbal persuasion and social feedback. Encouragement, affirmation, and constructive dialogue within peer-supported seminars reinforce positive academic identities and emotional resilience. Over time, repeated exposure to supportive peer interactions fosters empathy, perspective-taking, and emotional regulation, enabling students to navigate academic demands with greater confidence and psychological stability. This finding is consistent with findings from research on Turkish international students, which revealed that social-emotional struggles, such as profound homesickness and difficulty forming new friendships, are often the most significant challenges they face, highlighting the critical need for supportive peer networks to foster a sense of belonging and mitigate isolation (Oz Cetindere & Shin, 2025). Students often turn to social media and conational peers for coping strategies, underscoring the vital role of informal peer support systems in maintaining emotional well-being when formal structures are insufficient (Oz Cetindere & Shin, 2025). Programs designed to create safe, inclusive spaces for international students have been found to foster emotional well-being and encourage open dialogue by allowing students to share lived experiences and explore common challenges, thereby normalizing difficulties and fortifying emotional resilience (Ngbabare, 2025).

The mechanisms underlying these well-being benefits are further clarified by recent large-scale empirical research. In a study of more than 9,000 higher education students, Zhu et al. (2025) reported that perceived peer support significantly predicts academic hope—a positive motivational state characterized by agency (the will to succeed) and pathways (the perceived

ability to identify strategies to achieve goals). These findings establish an important link between the social support described by Cohen and McKay (2020) and the cognitive and emotional resources required for effective adaptation. From a social learning theory perspective, academic hope can be interpreted as a psychological outcome of vicarious experiences and verbal persuasion (Bandura, 1997). When students observe peers overcoming challenges and receive encouragement in seminar settings, they internalize not only emotional reassurance but also a concrete belief in their capacity to find solutions, reflecting both agency and pathway thinking. This suggests that the well-being derived from peer support extends beyond the mere reduction in stress; it involves the active development of a hopeful academic mindset that is essential for long-term resilience and success.

Influence of Peer Support on Graduate Students' Academic Performance

From a social learning standpoint, peer support in academic seminars enhances academic performance by facilitating modeling, feedback, and self-regulated learning. Bandura (1986) argued that individuals learn complex cognitive skills by observing others perform tasks, receiving feedback, and practicing behaviors within supportive environments. Academic seminars provide precisely such conditions. Peer-supported dialogue encourages learners to externalize their thinking, articulate their reasoning, and refine their ideas through social negotiation. This process aligns with cognitive elaboration theory, which suggests that explaining ideas to others deepens understanding and retention (Steinert, 1996; Dennick & Spencer, 2011). Webb (1989) further demonstrated that engagement in collaborative learning activities is a strong predictor of academic success, as learners benefit from both providing and receiving explanations.

In the context of research development, peer interaction supports higher-order cognitive processes such as synthesis, evaluation, and abstraction. Exposure to diverse perspectives enables learners to identify conceptual gaps, refine arguments, and strengthen methodological reasoning. Reynolds et al. (1996) note that peer discourse promotes epistemic development by encouraging learners to move beyond surface-level understanding toward more sophisticated conceptions of knowledge (Moglen, 2017). Peer feedback also plays a critical role in academic writing development. Through the observation of peers' writing strategies and engagement in iterative feedback cycles, learners acquire rhetorical awareness, disciplinary conventions, and revision strategies. Social accountability within peer groups further enhances motivation and effort, as learners anticipate evaluation from their academic community. This dynamic reflects reciprocal determinism, where peer expectations, individual motivation, and academic outcomes mutually reinforce one another. Collectively, these processes demonstrate that peer support within academic seminars functions as a powerful instructional mechanism that promotes engagement, skill development, and scholarly productivity.

Research on international doctoral students in the U.S. highlights that language challenges, including difficulties with accents and cultural nuances in

communication, can significantly hinder academic participation and confidence (Gao, 2026). In such contexts, peer interaction becomes a vital coping mechanism. Students often employ problem-focused strategies, such as observational learning and seeking clarification from peers, to navigate these challenges (Gao, 2026). Furthermore, studies on Nigerian students in Chinese-medium programs illustrate how students actively develop coping strategies, including rote memorization and the use of translation, to manage academic demands, often by relying on peer networks for support (Umennadi et al., 2025). The perception of China as a “comfort zone” or a “bubble” by some international students also has implications for academic motivation, while; the environment provides safety, it can also lead to a sense of stagnation if not complemented by active engagement and meaningful connections, which are often facilitated through peer interactions (Umennadi et al., 2025).

In the Malaysian context, research on Chinese undergraduates reveals that academic collaboration, while beneficial, can also present challenges related to team dynamics and communication styles (Jiang et al., 2026). Students reported that both conational and host-national peer support are important for academic adaptation, with digital media playing an increasingly important role in facilitating these connections and providing access to academic resources (Jiang et al., 2026). These findings align with broader research indicating that friendships with multinational peers are crucial for the adaptation process (Jiang et al., 2026). Similarly, the success of short-term mobility programs, such as MAS Grants, demonstrates that even brief, structured peer interactions in international cooperation projects can significantly increase students' resilience, autonomy, and transformative engagement, contributing to both personal and academic growth (Gonzalo & Escamilla, 2025). These immersive experiences allow students to apply their knowledge in new environments, build confidence, and develop a sense of global citizenship, which are integral to their overall academic and professional identity formation (Gonzalo & Escamilla, 2025).

Finally, research distinguishing between psychological and sociocultural adjustment highlights the specific role of peer support in shaping academic outcomes. While emotional well-being is often influenced by factors such as age and attachment to the university community, academic adjustment is more directly associated with practical variables, such as length of stay, English proficiency, and active help-seeking behaviors, which are factors that can be effectively cultivated within supportive peer environments (Bastien et al., 2018). This underscores the importance of targeted interventions, such as peer-facilitated help-seeking, to support the distinct domain of academic success (Bastien et al., 2018). By embedding learning within social interaction, seminars shift academic achievement from an individual endeavor to a socially mediated process.

This dynamic is further illustrated by the chain mediation model proposed by Zhu et al. (2025), whose findings indicate that perceived peer support influences academic adjustment through a sequential pathway: perceived peer support → academic hope → professional identity → academic adjustment. The model suggests that peer interaction first cultivates academic

hope, reinforcing earlier insights into motivation and cognitive engagement (Steinert, 1996; Dennick & Spencer, 2011, Akoshi et al., 2025). Increased academic hope then facilitates the development of professional identity, aligning with Hyde-Clarke's (2025) observation that structured peer interactions help students integrate into disciplinary academic cultures. Ultimately, this strengthened professional identity, reflecting a sense of belonging and commitment to one's field, which enhanced academic adjustment. It also helps explain why practices such as peer feedback on academic writing (Gao, 2026) and collaborative problem-solving (Jiang et al., 2026) promote deeper learning: these interactions enable students to internalize the values, language, and practices of their profession, thereby making the learning process more meaningful and effective.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the role of peer support in academic seminars as a mechanism for academic socialization among international graduate students in China. By employing a qualitative case study design guided by Bandura's social learning theory, the research demonstrates that peer interaction within seminar settings significantly contributes to students' academic, social, and psychological development. The findings reveal that seminars operate through structured phases—planning, presentation, discussion, and evaluation—in which peer support emerges as integral to meaningful engagement. Through observational learning, peer modeling, and reciprocal feedback, students gradually acquire disciplinary discourse practices, refine their research competencies, and internalize academic norms. Furthermore, peer-supported seminar environments foster psychological well-being by cultivating belonging, reducing isolation, and building emotional resilience. These outcomes position academic seminars not only as instructional spaces but also as strategic sites for intentional pedagogical design that can strengthen international graduate students' integration and success.

Practical Implications

The findings highlight several practical implications for higher education institutions aiming to strengthen academic seminars for international graduate students. Seminars should incorporate structured formats with rotating roles to promote equitable participation and skill development. Integrating formal peer-feedback processes can further support the refinement of research ideas and foster scholarly dialogue. Faculty should also cultivate inclusive and psychologically safe environments that encourage open participation despite linguistic or cultural differences. In addition, peer-mentorship opportunities can support international students' academic and social adjustment, whereas faculty development initiatives can help instructors effectively facilitate inclusive and collaborative seminar environments.

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the use of ChatGPT for assistance with grammatical refinement and language clarity in this manuscript. The AI tool was used solely to improve readability; all substantive content, analysis, and interpretations remained the sole responsibility of the author.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar, D. N., Pratt, C., & Hu, Y. (2019). Sustainability of the Belt and Road Initiative: An integrated, conceptual framework for instructional communication in China's universities. *Sustainability*, *11*(23), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11236789>
- Akoshi, A. A., Saleh, A.J., & Saleh, S.E. (2025). Academic Writing: Methods for Developing Seminar and Journal Papers. *European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, *2*(2), 176-182. DOI: 10.59324/ejahss.2025.2(2).20
- Al'Adawi, S. S. A. (2017). Exploring the effectiveness of implementing seminars as a teaching and an assessment method in a children's literature course. *English Language Teaching*, *10*(11). <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n11p1>
- Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). The internationalization of higher education: Motivations and realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *11*(3-4), 290-305. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315307303542>
- Altbach, P. G., & Salmi, J. (2011). The road to academic excellence: The making of world-class research universities. World Bank Publications.
- Andrade, M. S. (2006). International students in English-speaking universities: Adjustment factors. *Journal of Research in International Education*, *5*(2), 131-154. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1475240906065589>
- Anuar, N. A. A., Ibnu, I. N., Ayub, S. H., Zulkefli, M. Y., Anuar, N., & Serip Mohamad, N. H. (2025). Intercultural communication competence (ICC) in academic supervision: Insights into international postgraduate experiences in Malaysian universities. *Intercultural Education*, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14675986.2025.2465018>
- Baker, T., & Clark, J. (2010). Cooperative learning—a double-edged sword: A cooperative learning model for use with diverse student groups. *Intercultural Education*, *21*(3), 257–268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14675981003760440>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, *84*(2), 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>

- Bastien, G., Seifen-Adkins, T., & Johnson, L. R. (2018). Striving for success: Academic adjustment of international students in the U.S. *Journal of International Students, 8*(2), 1198-1219. DOI:10.32674/jis.v8i2.143
- Bi, X. (2025). Culturally responsive pedagogies and international graduate student engagement. *Journal of International Students, 15*(2), 45-68.
- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). Open University Press.
- Morgan, G., Harmon, R., & Johnson, M. (2019). *Academic seminars: A guide to effective facilitation*. Routledge.
- Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Chan, K. (2006). Higher education and economic development in Africa, Vol. 102. *World Bank*.
<https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-6786-0>
- Bodomo, A. (2012). *Africans in China: A Sociocultural Study and its Implications for Africa - China Relations*. Cambria Press, New York, NY.
- Boud, D., Cohen, R., & Sampson, J. (2014). *Peer learning in higher education: Learning from and with each other*. Routledge.
- Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (2011). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hayman, B., Wilkes, L., & Jackson, D. (2012). Journaling: Identification of challenges and reflection on strategies. *Nurse Researcher, 19*(3), 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr2012.04.19.3.27.c9056>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Bushe, G. R. (2007). Appreciative inquiry is not about the positive. *OD Practitioner, 39*(4), 33-38.
- Butler, J., Fujii, R., & Sawa, T. (2016). Appreciative inquiry in medical education. *Medical Teacher, 38*(8), 823-828.
- Camacho-Morles, J., Slemp, G. R., Pekrun, R., Loderer, K., Hou, H., and Oades, L. G. (2021). Activity achievement emotions and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 33*(3), 1051-1095. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09585-3>
- Campbell, T. A. (2015). Doctoral students and academic writing: Language challenges and support needs. *Journal of International Students, 5*(4), 456-472.
- Chen, J. H., Li, X., & Wang, Y. (2020). Institutional support and international student adjustment: A mixed-methods study. *Higher Education Research & Development, 39*(5), 987-1001.

- Ching, Y. H., Renes, S. L., McMurrow, S., Simpson, J., & Strange, A. T. (2017). Challenges facing Chinese international students studying in the United States. *Journal of International Students*, 7(3), 747-764.
- Clouder, D. L., & King, V. (2015). What works? A critique of appreciative inquiry as a research methodology. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 14(4), 375-394.
- Coffin, C., Curry, M. J., Goodman, S., Hewings, A., Lillis, T. M., & Swann, J. (2003). *Teaching academic writing: A toolkit for higher education*. Routledge.
- Cohen, S., and McKay, G. (2020). Social support, stress and the buffering hypothesis: a theoretical analysis. *Handbook of psychology and health (Volume IV): Social psychological aspects of health*. eds. S. E. Taylor, J. E. Hedges and A. Baum (New York: Routledge), 253–267.
- Cooperrider, D. L., & Whitney, D. (2011). *Appreciative inquiry: A positive revolution in change*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Council of Graduate Schools. (2024). *Effective mentorship in graduate education: A framework for holistic support*. Council of Graduate Schools.
- Dennick, R.G. and Spencer, J. (2011). Teaching and learning in small groups. In *Medical Education Theory and Practice*. First edition. Edited by Dorman T, Mann K, Scherpbier AJJA, Spencer J. Edinburgh: Elsevier Ltd, pp. 131-156.
- Duff, P. A. (2010). Language socialization into academic discourse communities. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 30, 169-192. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190510000048>
- Ecochard, S., & Fotheringham, J. (2017). International students' unique challenges – why understanding international transitions to higher education matters. *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, 5 (2). pp. 100-108. <https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/62206/>
- Evans, M., Smith, P., & Johnson, K. (2024). Strengths-based approaches to supporting African international students. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 17(2), 234-251.
- Falchikov, N. (2001). *Learning together: Peer tutoring in higher education*. Routledge.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410-8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Gao, B. (2026). Language challenges and coping among international doctoral students: Perspectives from students, staff, and the institution. *Journal of International Students*, 16(1), 141-160.
- George Mwangi, C. A., Changamire, N., & Mosselson, J. (2018). An intersectional understanding of African international graduate students' experiences in U.S. higher education. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 11(4), 423-438.

- Glass, C. R., & Westmont, C. M. (2014). Comparative effects of belongingness on the academic success and cross-cultural interactions of domestic and international students. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 38, 106-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2013.04.004>
- Glass, C. R., Kociolek, E., Wongtrirat, R., Lynch, R. J., & Cong, S. (2015). Uneven experiences: The impact of student-faculty interactions on international students' sense of belonging. *Journal of International Students*, 5(4), 353-367.
- Glesne, C. (2011). *Becoming qualitative researchers: An introduction* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Goldman, J.D. (1987). An elective seminar to teach first-year students the social and medical aspects of AIDS. *Journal of Medical Education*, Vol. 62, No. 7, pp. 557-561.
- Gonzalo, P., & Escamilla, A. (2025). Short-term mobility in higher education: The case of the Manuel Andrés Sánchez Grants from the University of Salamanca. *Journal of International Students*, 15(12), 61-76.
- Gothane, S. (2020). Impact of seminars on student soft skills development. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 9, 2189-2192. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.D1696.029420>
- Grant, S., & Humphries, M. (2006). Critical evaluation of appreciative inquiry: Bridging an apparent paradox. *Action Research*, 4(4), 401-418.
- Hanushek, E. A., & Woessmann, L. (2015). *The knowledge capital of nations: Education and the economics of growth*. MIT Press.
- He, Y., & Hutson, B. (2018). Exploring and leveraging Chinese international students' strengths for success. *Journal of International Students*, 8(1), 87-108.
- Heidegger, M. (1976). *Being and time*. Harper & Row. (Original work published 1889)
- Heng, T. T. (2017). Voices of Chinese international students in USA colleges: 'I want to tell them that ...' *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(5), 833-850. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1293873>
- Holley, K. A., & Caldwell, M. L. (2012). The challenges of designing and implementing a doctoral student mentoring program. *Innovative Higher Education*, 37, 243-253.
- Hu, J. (2019). Internationalization of higher education in China: A critical analysis. *Frontiers of Education in China*, 14(3), 345-372.
- Huang, F. (2017). Internationalization of higher education in China: Progress, challenges, and prospects. *International Higher Education*, 88, 21-23.
- Husserl, E. (1938). *The crisis of European sciences and transcendental phenomenology*. Northwestern University Press.
- Husserl, E. (1958). *Ideas: General introduction to pure phenomenology*. George Allen & Unwin.
- Hyde-Clarke, N. (2025). "Be Wise and participate": Introducing student-led cross-disciplinary peer support for masters students. *Student Engagement in Higher Education Journal*, 7(2).

- Institute of International Education. (2024). *Open Doors report on international educational exchange*. IIE.
- Jaarsma, A.D.C., de Grave, W.S., Muijtjens, A.M.M., Scherpbier, A.J.J.A. and van Beukelen, P. (2008). Perceptions of learning as a function of seminar group factors. *Medical Education*, Vol. 42, No. 12, pp. 1178-1184.
- Jackson, D., Michelson, G., & Munir, R. (2019). Appreciative inquiry and international student transitions. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 38(5), 987-1001.
- Jiang, M., Ahmad, A. L., & Aziz, J. (2026). Overcoming academic challenges in Malaysia: Social and digital media support for Chinese undergraduates. *Journal of International Students*, 16(1), 55–74. <https://doi.org/10.32674/4a312j84>
- Jin, L., & Cortazzi, M. (2018). *Researching intercultural learning: Critical perspectives on theory and practice*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Umennadi, J. O., Ifekpolugo, C., & Ekene, M. E. (2025). Exiting their comfort zone: Unpacking the educational experiences of Nigerian students in China. *Journal of International Students*, 15(1), 107–128. <https://jistudents.org>
- Kelley, J., Watson, A. N., & Bindamnan, A. (2023). More than meets the eye: Community cultural wealth as a theory for mentoring international students. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2023(175), 83-92.
- Koo, K., Baker, I., & Yoon, J. (2021). The first year of acculturation: A longitudinal study on acculturative stress and adjustment among first-year international college students. *Journal of International Students*, 11(2), 278-298.
- Kreber, C., & Cranton, P. A. (2000). Exploring the scholarship of teaching. *Journal of Higher Education*, 71(4), 476-495.
- Kuh, G. D., Citty, J., Hudson Jr, W. E., Iruoje, T. W., Mladic, J., & Qureshi, S. (2021). Right before our eyes: Making peer interaction matter more for all students. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 53(4), 15-21.
- Kuo, Y. H. (2011). Language challenges faced by international graduate students in the United States. *Journal of International Students*, 1(2), 38-42.
- Kuol, N. (2011). African graduate students' language experiences in U.S. classrooms. *Journal of International Students*, 1(1), 15-24.
- Lashari, S. A., Awang-Hashim, R., Lashari, T. A., Kaur, A. (2023). Acculturation stress and social support for international students' adjustment in Malaysia: does language proficiency matter? *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 15 (2), 496-508. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-07-2021-0285>
- Laufer, M., & Gorup, M. (2019). The invisible others: Stories of international doctoral student dropout. *Higher Education*, 78, 165-181.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.

- Le, T. (2019). Planning and organization of academic seminars in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Management*, 34(2), 45-62.
- Leadbeatter, D., & Gao, J. (2018). Twelve tips for a successful student-led conference in health professional education. *Medical Teacher*, 41(7), 750-755. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1481500>
- Lechuga, V. M. (2011). Faculty-graduate student mentoring relationships: Mentors' perceived roles and responsibilities. *Higher Education*, 62, 757-771.
- Lee, J. J., & Rice, C. (2007). Welcome to America? International student perceptions of discrimination. *Higher Education*, 53, 381-409.
- Li, G., Chen, W., & Duanmu, J. L. (2010). Determinants of international students' academic performance: A comparison between Chinese and other international students. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 14(4), 389-405.
- Lovitts, B. E. (2002). *Leaving the ivory tower: The causes and consequences of departure from doctoral study*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- LTDU (2007). *Learn Higher: Centre for excellence in Teaching and Learning*. Brunel University, West London
- Lunsford, L. G., Crisp, G., Dolan, E. L., & Wuetherick, B. (2017). Mentoring in higher education. In D. A. Clutterbuck, F. K. Kochan, L. G. Lunsford, N. Dominguez, & J. Haddock-Millar (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of mentoring*, 316-334. SAGE Publications.
- Mamiseishvili, K. (2012). International student persistence in U.S. postsecondary institutions. *Higher Education*, 64(1), 1-17.
- Martirosyan, N. M., Hwang, E., & Wanjohi, R. (2015). Impact of English proficiency on academic performance of international students. *Journal of International Students*, 5(1), 60-71.
- Mason, H. D. (2019). The best that I can be: A case for a strengths-based approach during the first-year experience. *Journal of Student Affairs in Africa*, 7(2), 89-108.
- Moglen, D. (2017). International graduate students and faculty mentorship: An appreciative inquiry approach. *Journal of International Students*, 7(3), 654-672.
- Morgan, G., Harmon, R., & Johnson, M. (2019). *Academic seminars: A guide to effective facilitation*. Routledge.
- Mwangi, C. A. G., Changamire, N., & Mosselson, J. (2019). African graduate students' experiences in U.S. higher education: An intersectional analysis. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 12(3), 245-258.
- Nathalie Hyde-Clarke (2025). Be wise and participate: Introducing student-led cross-disciplinary peer support for masters. *Student Engagement in Higher Education Journal*. 7(2),
- Ngabare, S. M. J. (2025). Mentoring that matters: African graduate students' perceptions of faculty mentorship during transition. *Journal of International Students*, 15(10), 115-136. <https://doi.org/10.32674/dvhrm67>

- Niemi, H. (2002). Active learning—a cultural change needed in teacher education and schools. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 18*(7), 763–780. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(02\)00042-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00042-2)
- Niemi, H., Nevgi, A., & Aksit, F. (2016). Active learning promoting student teachers' professional competences in Finland and Turkey. *European Journal of Teacher Education, 39*(4), 471–490. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2016.1221104>
- OECD. (2020). *Education at a glance 2020: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing.
- Oz Cetindere, E., & Shin, D.-S. (2025). Turkish students' intercultural challenges and aspirations in U.S. universities: A social media analysis. *Journal of International Students, 15*(1), 129–150. DOI:10.32674/9xv7tx87
- Pardo, M. D. J., Fuster, P., Gallart, A., Rodríguez, E., Wennberg, L., & Martín-Ferreres, M. L. (2021). Fostering leadership competence and satisfaction in nursing undergraduates through a student-led conference: A quasi-experimental pre-post study. *Nurse education today, 98*, 104748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104748>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education, 93*(3), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x>
- Rana, N., Dwivedi, Y. & Khowaiter, W. (2017). Use of seminars for teaching and learning in higher education: recommendations for business and management teaching. *International Journal of Business Excellence, 13*(2), 238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJBEX.2017.086341>
- Reynolds, R. E., Sinatra, G. M., & Jetton, T. L. (1996). Views of knowledge acquisition and representation: A continuum from experience-centered to mind-centered. *Educational Psychologist, 31*(2), 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3102_1
- Richard, J., Rebinsky, R., Suresh, R., Kubic, S., Carter, J. A., Cunningham, J. E. A., Ker, A., Williams, K., & Sorin, M. (2022). Scoping review to evaluate the effects of peer support on the mental health of young adults. *BMJ Open, 12*(8), e061336–e061336. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061336>
- Roessingh, H., & Chambers, W. (2011). Project-based learning and pedagogy in teacher preparation: Staking out the theoretical mid-ground. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 23*(1), 60–71.
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*(6), 1069–1081.
- Sari, C. A. K., Yoonanto, N. H., & Paramita, P. P. (2026). Revisiting social support and academic adjustment among international students: A

- systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of International Students*, 16(1), 161-182.
- Smith, R. A., & Khawaja, N. G. (2011). A review of the acculturation experiences of international students. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 35(6), 699-713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2011.08.004>
- Steinert, Y. (1996). Twelve tips for effective small-group teaching in the health professions. *Medical Teacher*, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 203-207.
- Tian, M., & Lowe, J. (2018). Internationalization of higher education in China: The role of government and institutional strategies. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 7(3), 282-295.
- Topping, K. J., Buchs, C., Duran, D., & Van Keer, H. (2017). *Effective peer learning: From principles to practical implementation*. Routledge.
- Tsatsenko, N. (2021). Seminar organization and student engagement in graduate education. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 18(4), 112-128.
- Walton, G. M., Logel, C., Peach, J. M., Spencer, S. J., & Zanna, M. P. (2015). Two brief interventions to mitigate a "chilly climate" transform women's experience, relationships, and achievement in engineering. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 107(2), 468-485.
- Webb, N. M. (1989). Peer interaction and learning in small groups. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 13(1), 21-39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-0355\(89\)90014-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-0355(89)90014-1)
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wu, H., & Zha, Q. (2020). Internationalization of higher education in China: A critical review. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 75, 102-118.
- Yaro, I. F., & Smith, J. M. (2024). Black African students in predominantly white U.S. higher education institutions: Drivers influencing their identification and commitment. *Journal of International Students*, 14(3), 21-41.
- Zhang, M., Zhao, M. H., Dong, J. H., and Huoliang, G. (2021). Preliminary development of Multidimensional Social Support Scale. *Psychol. Res* 14, 418-427. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.2095-1159.2021.05.00
- Zhang, Y. (2016). International students in transition: Voices of Chinese doctoral students in a U.S. research university. *Journal of International Students*, 6(1), 175-194. <http://jistudents.org/>
- Zhou, Y., Zhang, H., & Stodolska, M. (2017). Acculturative stress and leisure among Chinese international graduate students. *Leisure Sciences*, 39(5), 437-455.
- Zhu, Y., Lu, H., Wang, X., Ma, W., & Xu, M. (2025). The relationship between perceived peer support and academic adjustment among higher vocational college students: The chain mediating effects of academic hope and professional identity. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, Article 1534883. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1534883>

Author bios

Theogene Ntirenganya is an international student from Rwanda pursuing a Ph.D. in the Faculty of Teacher Education at Southwest University, China. His research spans teacher education, professional development, educational management and policy, curriculum and instruction, and educational psychology, reflecting a broad interest in improving teaching, learning, and educational systems. Email: ntirengatheogene@gmail.com

Francoise Mugeni is an international student from Rwanda who is pursuing a Master of Educational Leadership and Policy at East China Normal University. Her research interests are: Teacher professional development, STEAM education, educational leadership and policy, and curriculum and instruction. Email: francmugeni1991@gmail.com

Florien Uwizeyimana, an international student from Rwanda studying in China, is completing a master's degree in educational management and policy at Northeast Normal University. He has eight years of teaching experience across both international (Cambridge) and national curricula, combining practical expertise with educational leadership. As an active researcher, he is dedicated to advancing educational leadership and comparative education. His work applies evidence-based strategies and policy innovation to drive transformative educational management with meaningful impact at both the national and international levels. Email: zeraflo25@gmail.com
